# INS v. Chadha, 462 U.S. 919 (1983)

## Is the court ruling on the wisdom of the law?

## What does it say its ruling is limited to?

## What if it is a good law? (We will come back to this as the Court rethinks what is constitutional in administrative law.)

## What is the argument that this is a good law?

## What are the Art. I requirements?

## How does the legislative veto violate these requirements?

## What was the rationale for the presidential veto?

## What is Bicameralism?

## What is the separation of powers within the legislature that Madison proposed?

## What is the Great Compromise?

## How is the president's veto limited?

## What is the test to determine if congress is exercising its legislative power?

## What is it about sec. 244(c)(2) that implicates legislative action?

## Absent sec. 244(c)(2), how would congress have to deport aliens that the Executive wanted to let stay in the country?

## How can Congress let an alien stay that the presidents wants to deport?

## How does the history of these provision support their legislative nature?

## When may houses of congress act without the president or the other house?

## What is the significance of these narrow exceptions?

## What is the court's final ruling?