# Applying the Framework for Equitable Allocation of COVID-19 Vaccine in Various Scenarios

At the time of writing, no COVID-19 vaccine has been approved for use in the U.S. population, although a number of clinical trials are underway. There are many uncertainties regarding if and when vaccines against COVID-19 will become available, under what regulatory framework they will be approved for first use, what their ultimate product profiles will be (e.g., in terms of efficacy among different age groups, dosage schedule(s), and safety/adverse reactions), as well as the schedule and timelines for expanding vaccine supply availability (e.g., when doses will become available and how quickly supply will expand). Chapter 2 of this report outlined the foundational principles and allocation framework to be used in guiding the fair and equitable use of scarce COVID-19 vaccine supply. This section envisions potential scenarios that federal, state, local, tribal, and territorial (SLTT) authorities may face in the use of new COVID-19 vaccines. Consequently, this section starts with describing the best scenario. Subsequently, the section identifies the possible and, in some cases, probable, deviations from this ideal scenario.

2222

2223

2224

2225

2226

2227

2228

2229

2230

2231

2232

2233

2234

2235

2236

2237

2238

#### AN ADAPTABLE AND DYNAMIC FRAMEWORK

It is important to emphasize that, whenever they become available, COVID-19 vaccines will be added to an already complex (and evolving) mix of public health strategies that include: nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) (such as mask usage, physical distancing, hand washing, etc.); expanded diagnostic testing linked to contact tracing, isolation, and quarantine (TTIQ) strategies aimed at containing transmission, suppressing outbreaks, and interrupting superspreading events; and the deployment of therapeutic measures that mitigate morbidity and mortality and, ultimately, curtail transmission from those who do become infected (CDC, 2020; IOM, 2004; CDC, 2017). The principle that public policy should be evidence-based is essential to guiding the allocation of scarce countermeasures.

Box 6 outlines some of the key uncertainties regarding COVID-19 vaccines. Given these uncertainties, SLTT authorities will need to be ready for varied and sometimes unexpected scenarios in determining how best to use their federal allocation.

### BOX 6

## **Uncertain Factors Affecting Vaccine Allocation**

- Number and timing of available vaccine doses
- Number of available vaccine types
- Vaccine efficacy (overall and in different groups)
- Vaccine safety (overall and in different groups)
- Vaccine uptake (population acceptance, overall and in different groups)
- Epidemic conditions when vaccine becomes available
- Vaccine distribution and administration
- Political and regulatory environment

An ideal COVID-19 vaccine would be a one-dose vaccine that produces high levels of neutralizing antibodies in all age groups, prevents moderate-to-severe disease as well as infection, prevents transmission from infected individuals to other susceptible persons,<sup>27</sup> has very

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Current clinical trials are focused on clinical endpoints related to infection or mild-moderate symptomatic COVID syndrome and do not explicitly address the issue of transmission blocking.

mild adverse reactions, has no severe adverse effects, and provides long-term protection. This is the "best" scenario because such a product profile would be most compatible with widespread use of the vaccine, both for personal protection and outbreak interruption. It would also be the scenario that produces the greatest demand for the vaccine. Few vaccines will have such an ideal product profile, with each shortcoming reducing demand (e.g., lack of efficacy in some age groups, complex administration, adverse reactions), as will vaccine hesitancy.

While major efforts are being made by the federal government through Operation Warp Speed (OWS) to have a significant supply of vaccine as soon as possible, the committee has been tasked with considering the tough choices that will need to be made with the tightly constrained initial supplies (e.g., 10–15 million doses, enough to vaccinate 3–5 percent of the U.S. population). In the initial period when demand exceeds supply, the committee, in Chapter 2, recommended a phased approach, guided by evidence to maximize societal benefit by reducing morbidity and mortality caused by the transmission of novel coronavirus. As highlighted above, a range of uncertain factors related to the available vaccine(s) may affect the implementation of the framework. Table 3 at the end of this chapter summarizes how the framework could be affected in various scenarios.

## **Number and Timing of Available Vaccine Doses**

OWS estimates that it will begin delivery of COVID-19 vaccines by January 2021. However, given the uncertainty regarding how many doses will actually be available by January 2021, available vaccines should initially be allocated to individuals according to the phases described in Chapter 2.

It is possible that the vaccine will require two doses instead of one to ensure adequate protection (IOM, 2013). In this case, two doses will be allocated to each person so that, in effect, half as many people could be vaccinated. Vaccination would still follow the proposed allocation framework, but some individuals would receive vaccination later. If the vaccine requires two doses, strategies and systems (e.g., use of established providers or use of federally qualified health centers) are necessary to help ensure continuity of care between the first and second dose. This is important because if efficacy with only one dose is low, individuals who receive only one dose are effectively unvaccinated and that vaccine dose was in essence wasted.

A related issue is durability of protection. It may be that duration of protection is short enough that people vaccinated in an early phase must receive a booster dose before some individuals in later phases receive vaccination. Again, vaccination would still follow the proposed allocation framework, but some individuals in subsequent phases would receive vaccination later.

2273 Vaccine Efficacy

Trials of a number of candidate vaccines are currently underway, but at this time the likely efficacy of each COVID-19 vaccine in preventing infection or in preventing severe disease is unknown. The level of efficacy in preventing infection will affect transmission of the infection in the population, and the level of efficacy in preventing severe disease will affect demand for acute and intensive hospital care—key factors relating to future management of COVID-19. Vaccine efficacy may also differ in different population groups (e.g., it might be less efficacious in older adults). Moderate to low efficacy may lead people to reject the vaccine, believing their risk of side effects or the "unknown" outweigh the benefit of vaccination (Smith, 2017).<sup>28</sup> Epidemic modeling—once a vaccine becomes available—could be useful to determine whether individuals in the priority groups identified in the committee's framework should still be offered vaccination if the vaccine is determined to be less efficacious for their group. Once widespread vaccination commences, presumed efficacy may be influenced by how adherent people are to other basic protective measures such as masks and social distancing (CDC, 2020). Additional public messaging about maintaining such behaviors may be called for, particularly if people who are vaccinated erroneously believe they are no longer at risk of infection or transmission.

Vaccine Safety

Significant numbers of individuals must be vaccinated before vaccine safety is fully understood. When a vaccine becomes available, the knowledge concerning vaccine safety will be based on existing clinical trials which, of necessity, are limited. If it is found that certain population groups (e.g., children or older adults) experience significant side effects from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> To ensure that a widely deployed COVID-19 vaccine is effective, FDA stated the primary efficacy endpoint point estimate for a placebo-controlled efficacy trial should be at least 50 percent, and the statistical success criterion should be that the lower bound of the appropriately alpha-adjusted confidence interval around the primary efficacy endpoint point estimate is >30 percent. https://www.fda.gov/media/139638/download (accessed August 18, 2020).

vaccine, it may be advisable to allocate the vaccine with caution to such population groups or to reallocate it to a different group that is less vulnerable to those particular side effects. As the vaccine starts to be allocated broadly in the U.S., monitoring of side effects and possible adjustment of the allocation framework are essential to minimize possible side effects in the population, while maximizing benefit by preventing deaths and severe disease. Effective collection and communication of evidence regarding population effects, both efficacy and adverse effects, are also essential to securing and maintaining public trust. Additionally, vaccinated individuals should be assured of compensation (especially for health care costs) for vaccine-related injuries. If the Department of Health and Human Services issues a Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness (PREP) Act declaration, preempting state tort remedies, the government must then fully fund and make accessible PREP Act compensation. Failing to do so will lead to distrust and anger if and when adverse events arise.

2306 Vaccine Uptake

Vaccine hesitancy has been well documented among numerous population groups in the United States. The COVID-19 vaccine is no exception: Many individuals will be hesitant to receive a new COVID-19 vaccine, particularly if there are perceived safety concerns or if vaccine efficacy is thought to be relatively low. Vaccine hesitancy will also be greater if there is any suspicion that political or economic considerations have influenced the vaccine safety assessments made by government regulatory or advisory bodies, such as the Food and Drug Administration and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). It may be that some people are "COVID-vaccine hesitant" and do not want to be vaccinated when it is offered to them—despite their individual risk—but would be willing to be vaccinated later when more evidence about vaccine safety has accrued. Thus, although an individual may be prioritized in our allocation framework, that person may refuse to be vaccinated when vaccination is offered to them, in which case the vaccine should be offered to another individual within that priority group. Of course, if enough individuals refuse to accept the vaccine, the resulting population protection (reduction in deaths and COVID-19 transmission) due to the vaccine may not be high.

Messages about vaccine safety and efficacy are essential for all people and at all phases. Direct-to-consumer advertising may influence public perceptions and preferences. It is critical that the communication campaign accompanying the vaccine outline the risks and benefits of the

2325

2326

2327

2328

2329

2330

2331

2332

2333

2334

2335

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2353

vaccine in a way that members of the population can understand (Malik et al., 2020). Health care providers can also play an important role in communicating vaccine risks and benefits to their patients. Additionally, if vaccine uptake is low, the idea of adhering to an allocation framework could lead some providers to shift to lower priority groups or be left with excess vaccine stock. Programs should do everything possible to reach all individuals in one priority group, before proceeding to the next one. That will include making special efforts to address issues related to health inequities that may reduce trust in some groups or make health care less accessible to them.

## **Number and Timing of Available Vaccine Types**

It is possible that multiple vaccine types, and not just a single vaccine, will be made available in early 2021. If this happens, the available vaccines might be rated on a spectrum by ACIP with recommendations about which groups should receive which vaccines. The available vaccines may have major differences in important features (e.g., safety and efficacy, overall and in different populations; duration of protection; robustness of immune response; etc.) and it is important to determine which vaccine is best for different groups, based on all the information available when a vaccine is released. Vaccines would still be allocated to the different phases, with the rate of allocation to different groups determined by availability of the vaccine(s) for that group. For example, if Vaccine A is determined to be best for individuals in Phases 1 and 4, and Vaccine B is determined to be best for individuals in Phases 2 and 3, then vaccination with Vaccine A would proceed for individuals in Phase 1 followed by Phase 4, while vaccination with Vaccine B would proceed for individuals in Phase 2 followed by Phase 3. It is also possible that, after an initial vaccine is made available, a safer or more effective vaccine may be released. In this case, vaccine allocation must take into account the benefits and harms of the vaccine for each particular population group. To the extent possible, vaccines would continue to be made available in the same phases as outlined in the framework. However, if a particular vaccine is inappropriate for use by a particular group, that group would need to wait for a new form of a vaccine, and the existing vaccine might be provided to those who otherwise are slated for a later phase. With multiple available vaccines, it is particularly important to monitor safety and efficacy as immunization efforts progress so as to ensure that different population groups receive an appropriate vaccine.

## **Epidemic Conditions and Immune Status**

At the time of writing, COVID-19 is spreading widely in the U.S., across many states and jurisdictions, with 50,000–70,000 newly identified cases each day and 1,000–2,000 deaths daily. Increasing numbers of cases are occurring among younger people, who are also thought to be key agents in transmitting the disease. It is currently not known how long immunity from COVID-19 infection lasts, nor the extent to which transmission may be reduced in different populations due to more people acquiring immunity from having been infected. If sufficient numbers of individuals in a population group are immune due to previous infection, then it may be that scarce vaccine doses should be allocated to individuals in other prioritized population groups. Conversely, if the infection is found to be spreading particularly rapidly in a particular geographic region or population group, it may be reasonable to prioritize allocating vaccines to that region or group. This could be done by holding back a certain fraction of vaccine doses (e.g., 10 percent) for use in vaccinating individuals in COVID-19 "hot spots" who are at high risk of infection and who cannot protect themselves.

Personal protective behavior—such as sheltering in place, social distancing, and wearing face masks—also affects the spread of COVID-19 (CDC, 2020). It is essential that vaccinated individuals be encouraged to engage in personal protective behavior to the extent that they are able to.

## **Vaccine Distribution and Administration**

Specific details of how the COVID-19 vaccine will be distributed and administered have not been fully determined at this time. The vaccine is being developed through the federal OWS initiative, and presumably the federal government will issue guidelines for allocation, distribution, and administration of the vaccine. The extent to which states will be obligated to follow such guidelines is not known. Such state-level decisions will affect the implementation of the vaccine allocation framework. As an example, a state may make a commitment to set aside a certain fraction of vaccine doses for tribal governments in that state (this would be a supplement to what would be allocated by the federal government through the Indian Health Service).

## Social, Economic, and Legal Contexts

The social, economic, and legal contexts will affect vaccine distribution and uptake. For example, if some health insurers, care providers, or employers fail to cover the full vaccine administration cost, the allocation framework is unchanged, but the federal government or states should make efforts to provide funds to cover the cost of vaccine administration (and other vaccination costs) for low-income individuals.

Once vaccine availability has increased sufficiently and vaccine safety in younger groups has been assessed, children will be offered a COVID-19 vaccine (Mello et al., 2020). Historically, the most effective way to ensure broad uptake of vaccine in children is through mandates that condition school attendance on evidence of vaccination or an accepted reason for exemption, such as a medical contraindication. There will certainly be wide variation among states and even within states regarding such mandates, particularly with respect to whether non-medical exemptions will be allowed. To ensure an orderly return to schools, states may benefit from having their mandates clarified by attorneys general issuing interpretations of existing authorities and their departments and agencies issue interpretative guidance, or by considering ways to tighten existing law regarding exemptions. Despite the allocation framework, it is possible that some school districts may be tempted to mandate vaccination of schoolchildren immediately, as a means of moving more quickly toward re-opening schools. At a state level, this would allocate the vaccine in a manner different from the committee's proposed allocation framework (i.e., by prioritizing schoolchildren).

Another possibility is that some employers would require employees to be vaccinated or to have some evidence of prior infection (on the employer's assumption that this confers immunity) (Phelan, 2020). If a state is not allocating vaccine supplies in accordance with the recommended phases, this would divert vaccine supplies toward many who are not in the higher risk categories described in Phases 1 and 2. If large employers acquire doses of the vaccine, as has happened in the past with 2009 H1N1 vaccines, this could limit supplies available to state and local health departments. Although there is precedent for employers requiring vaccination, subject to some limitations based on union agreements or religious exemptions, (e.g., many hospitals and nursing homes require employees to be vaccinated against the flu) a number of concerns arise when vaccine supply is limited, as it will be with COVID-19 vaccine(s). If employers require vaccination, the allocation framework would be unchanged, but pressure

would certainly be brought to bear on health care providers by people needing to maintain their employment, regardless of whether they are at high risk of infection. Such a requirement could change rates of vaccine uptake, and would pose a dilemma for those individuals for whom the vaccine is medically contraindicated—either take the vaccine or lose employment—and would be a possible violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (Yang et al., 2020). Mandated vaccination could also violate Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 if there is a religious exemption or could violate collective bargaining rights (in unionized workplaces). Additionally, it is important to note that the equitable allocation scheme will fail if a separate private vaccine market emerges for those who can pay the most. SLTT authorities should not waiver from their adherence to the proposed equitable allocation scheme to satisfy the demands of private employers or institutions that are seeking or requiring vaccination of all workers.

As a final example, if states do not provide free vaccine access to people without documentation of legal status, then the allocation framework is unchanged, but other sources of financial support (e.g., philanthropy, health systems, pharmaceutical companies) will be needed to assure access to vaccination for those individuals.

# 2428 **DRAFT TABLE 3** Summary Table of the Application of the Committee's Framework in Various Scenarios

Scenario	Change in Allocation Framework
Number and Timing of Vaccine Doses	
Fewer vaccine courses available than expected by Operation Warp Speed	Allocation framework is unchanged. Some individuals receive vaccination later than they would otherwise.
Vaccine requires two doses, rather than one	Allocation framework is unchanged, but some individuals receive vaccination later. Vaccination should use strategies and systems (e.g., use of established providers or use of federally qualified health centers) to ensure continuity of care between the first and second dose.
Number of Vaccine Types	
More than one vaccine type available	Allocation framework is unchanged, but which vaccines are allocated to which population groups must take into account the benefits and harms of the vaccine for each population group.
Vaccine Efficacy	
Low vaccine efficacy among older adults or other population subgroup	Only allocate to this population subgroup if vaccine benefits outweigh the risks.
Vaccine Safety	
Unanticipated vaccine side effects	Continuously monitor vaccine safety as the vaccine is rolled out. Only allocate to individuals for whom vaccine benefits outweigh the risks
Significant vaccine side effects among older adults or other population subgroup	Continuously monitor vaccine safety as the vaccine is rolled out. Only allocate to this population subgroup if vaccine benefits outweigh the risks.
Vaccine Uptake	
Vaccine uptake is lower than expected	Allocation framework is unchanged. The communication campaign accompanying the vaccine must outline the risks and benefits of the vaccine in a factual way that members of the population can understand.
<b>Epidemic Conditions and Immune Status</b>	
Epidemic spread is continuing across much of the U.S. when the vaccine becomes available	Allocation framework is unchanged. Public health messages must continue to stress the need for personal protective measures (e.g., masks, social distancing).
Epidemic is spreading most rapidly in particular hot spots when the vaccine becomes available	A certain fraction of vaccine courses (e.g., ten percent) is reserved for vaccinating individuals in hot spots. Public health messages must continue to stress the need for personal protective measures (e.g., masks, social distancing).
Vaccine Distribution and Administration	personal process of measures (e.g., masks, sector distancing).

States are required to follow federal guidelines for vaccine allocation	Allocation framework is unchanged.
States have some leeway in the extent to which they follow federal guidelines for vaccine allocation	States adapt the allocation framework to their needs (e.g., they may set aside a certain number of doses for particularly vulnerable populations in their state).
Social, Economic, and Legal Contexts	
Some health insurers do not cover full vaccine administration cost	Allocation framework is unchanged, but the federal government or states should make efforts to provide funds to cover the cost of vaccine administration (and other vaccination costs) for low-income individuals.
Some employers require proof of vaccination	Allocation framework is unchanged, but such requirements could change rates of vaccine uptake, and would pose hazards for those individuals for whom the vaccine is medically contraindicated and could raise issues around discrimination against those unable to obtain the vaccine and therefore unable to work
Some states mandate vaccination of schoolchildren	Allocation framework is unchanged, but states mandating vaccination of schoolchildren might allocate the vaccine in a manner different from the Committee's proposed allocation framework (i.e., prioritize schoolchildren)
Some states do not provide free vaccine access to people without documentation of legal status	Allocation framework is unchanged. Other sources of financial support (e.g., philanthropy, health systems, pharmaceutical companies) should be sought to provide vaccination for those individuals.

2430 REFERENCES 2431 CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2017. Community mitigation guidelines to prevent pandemic influenza- united states, 2017. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 66:1-34. 2432 2433 CDC. 2020a. ACIP recommendations. https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/recommendations.html 2434 (accessed August 19, 2020) 2435 CDC. 2020b. Nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs). https://www.cdc.gov/nonpharmaceuticalinterventions/index.html (accessed August 19, 2020). 2436 2437 CDC. 2020c. Social distancing: Keep a safe distance to slow the spread. 2438 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/social-distancing.html (accessed 2439 August 19, 2020) IOM (Institute of Medicine). 2004. Learning from SARS: Preparing for the next disease outbreak: 2440 2441 Workshop summary. Edited by S. Knobler, A. Mahmoud, S. Lemon, A. Mack, L. Sivitz and K. Oberholtzer. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. 2442 2443 IOM. 2013. The childhood immunization schedule and safety: Stakeholder concerns, scientific evidence, and future studies. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. 2444 2445 Malik, A. A., S. M. McFadden, J. Elharake, and S. B. Omer. 2020. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the U.S. EClinical Medicine. 2446 2447 Mello, M. M., R. D. Silverman, and S. B. Omer. 2020. Ensuring uptake of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. 2448 New England Journal of Medicine. Phelan, A. L. 2020. Covid-19 immunity passports and vaccination certificates: Scientific, equitable, and 2449 legal challenges. The Lancet 395(10237):1595-1598. 2450 Smith, T. C. 2017. Vaccine rejection and hesitancy: A review and call to action. Open Forum Infectious 2451 Diseases 4(3):ofx146-ofx146. 2452 2453 Yang, Y. T., E. Pendo, and D. R. Reiss. 2020. The Americans with Disabilities Act and healthcare employer-mandated vaccinations. Vaccine 38(16):3184–3186. 2454