Global Overview of Navigable Storm Surge Barriers from a Dutch Perspective

ASCE Met Section Infrastructure Group Seminar 2009

Against the Deluge: Storm Surge Barriers to protect New York City New York, March 30 and 31, 2009



Piet Dircke: ARCADIS, Tom Jongeling: Deltares, Peter Jansen: ARCADIS

ARCADIS

Contents

- Introduction
- Functions of a storm surge barrier
- Requirements and functional demands
- Types of navigable storm surge barriers
- Comparison of gate types
- Suitable gate types for New York
- Concluding remarks





Introduction

- Netherlands: centuries of protection against floods
- Dutch Delta Plan, water boards, dikes, levees and barriers
- Rotterdam: City of Water, world Port, flood issue comparable to NYC
- ARCADIS: Infrastructure, Environment, Buildings, Water
- Katrina: wake up call
- USACE New Orleans: IHNC Flood Gates Conceptual study
- Hurricane Protection systems approach including barriers
- Global overview of suitable gate types





Functions of a storm surge barrier

Primary function is storm surge protection

- Passage of ships
- Discharge of water
- Discharge of ice
- Tidal flow
- Passage of fish

(width, navigational depth, clearance) (wet cross section) (free water length) (submerged sill, wet cross section) (wet cross section, flow velocity)

• Connection between both landsides for traffic

Other relevant aspects:

- Maintainability
- Reliability
- Environmental impact
- Impact on landscape
- Impact on water systems
- Constructability



Requirements and functional demands (1)

- Wet cross section for tidal flow and river discharge
- Water overtopping or leaking past the barrier
- Risk of failure (structural and closure) minimized
- Meet environmental impact criteria
- Sufficient flow & navigation during construction
- Controllable at design flow & wave conditions
- Hydraulic -, wind, ice loads
- Suitable for the required water depth & reverse head
- Flow- or wave-induced vibrations & oscillations





Requirements and functional demands (2)

- Siltation impacts on gate operation
- Ready for operation preparation time
- Translation waves & differential head (closure)
- Sensibility for wind loads
- Vulnerability to impact of heavy objects (barges)
- Vulnerability to vandalism (terrorism)
- Clearance height & width of span for required shipping
- Accessible for inspection & maintenance
- Choice of materials and techniques for minimum maintenance





Types of navigable storm surge gates

- 1. Mitre gate: double-leaf gate
- 2. Vertical lifting gate
- 3. Flap gate
- 4. Horizontally moving or rotating gates (including sliding and floating sector gates)
- 5. Vertically rotating gates (including segment and radial gates) rotating in a vertical plane about a horizontal axis
- 6. Inflatable rubber dams mounted to the sill





Mitre gates: Classic, often used in locks, canals





Mitre gates

favorable	unfavorable			
Structural aspects, layout and operation				
 Unlimited clearance height for shipping Little space required Proven concept Not subjected to wind 	 Very limited gate span (up to 100 ft) Little or no controlled operation under flow and waves 			
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects				
 Horizontal closure Discharge of excess water through gate 	 Sensible to vibration as result of flowing water Sensible to reverse head Sensible to waves 			

Lifting gates: Krimpen Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands





ARCADIS

Lifting gates: Hartelkanaal Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands









Lifting gates: Heusden Flood Barrier, Netherlands







Lifting gates: Oosterschelde Storm Surge Barrier, The Netherlands (1)







Lifting gates: Oosterschelde Storm Surge Barrier, The Netherlands (2)





Lifting gates: Oosterschelde Storm Surge Barrier, The Netherlands (3)





Vertical lifting gates

favorable	unfavorable			
Structural aspects, layout and operation				
 Large gate span (up to 300 ft) Little space required Controlled operation under flow and wave Raised gate accessible for maintenance Proven concept 	 Limited clearance height for shipping Raised gate subject to wind load Wheel gates weak spot, wearing Smooth slide required ⇔ growth underwater 			
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects				
 Vertical closure Easy discharge of excess water Overflow and reverse flow acceptable Underside free of sill Limited vertical flow forces & wave loads 	 Sensitivity to vibrations Subject to down-pull flow forces and wave loads 			

Flap gates: Maeslant Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands (designs)







Flapgates: Venice Storm Surge Barrier, Italy









Flap gates: Stamford Hurricane Barrier, Connecticut, USA





ARCADIS

Flap gates

favorable	unfavorable		
Structural aspects, layout and operation			
 No limitation of the span No clearance height Little space required Suitable for deep waters Controlled operation flow & wave Not subjected to wind Invisible when not in use 	 Natural frequencies low, small stiffness, great mass Pneumatic: not fully controlled Hydraulic: concentration cylinders Underwater: corrosion, growth Hinges may wear out in sand Maintenance difficult 		
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects			
 No strong confinement of horizontal flow (gates alternately) Vertical closure single flap Excess water: through one flap or lowering the gate crest 	Sensitivity to vibrations		



Horizontally moving: Maeslant Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands (design)





Horizontally moving: New Bedford Hurricane Barrier, Massachusetts, USA





Horizontally moving: Harvey Canal Flood Protection Barrier, New Orleans, USA







Horizontally moving or rotating gates

favorable	unfavorable			
Structural aspects, layout and operation				
 Ultra Large span feasible No clearance height limitation Not subjected to wind Suitable for deep waters Free of sill, reduced load on sill Stable structure, no load concentration Dry docks: Maintenance, no collision 	 Large space & deep excavation required for chambers Flat & smooth slide way required Silting may hamper operation Sector gates: load transfer to hinges, maintenance, corrosion, growth Floating sector gate complex in operation 			
Hydraulic and hydr	Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects			
 Limited differential head & horizontal flow contraction in last stage of closure Excess water: through sluice opening Suitable for reverse head & flow Not sensitive to flow vibrations 	 Sector gates: ship collision, siltastion in open chambers Floating sector gate sensitive for reverse head & flow 			



Floating sector Gate: Maeslant Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands (1)







Floating sector gate: Maeslant Storm Surge Barrier, Netherlands (2)





Floating sector gate: St. Petersburg Storm Surge Barrier, Russia







ARCADIS

floating sector gates

favorable	unfavorable		
Structural aspects, layout and operation			
 Large gate span possible No clearance height limitation Shallow dry dock: easy inspection & maintenance and collision protection Can be immersed if sill covered with sill No flatness of sill required 	 Large space required Operation complicated, may in flowing water not be fully controlled A negative differential head my cause problems (pull up forces ball hinges) Objects on sill can cause damage Load concentration, forces on hinges Mobilization time: filling of dry docks 		
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects			
 vertical closure of flow opening (no strong horizontal flow contraction) Separate sluice openings may be applied to reduce differential head and discharge excess water 	 Sensitivity to flow induced oscillations Sensitive to dynamic wave forces Limited resistance to negative differential head 		



Vertically rotating: Thames Storm Surge Barrier, UK





ARCADIS

Vertically rotating: Ems Storm Surge Barrier, Germany





Vertically rotating: Fox Point Hurricane Barrier, Providence, Rhode Island USA





Vertically rotating gates

favorable	unfavorable		
Structural aspects, layout and operation			
 Large span feasible Immediately ready for operation Controlled operation flow & wave Little space required Not subjected to wind Segment gate: no clearance limitation Inspection & maintenance 	 Load transfer & concentration Segment gate: high sill tolerance demands, vulnerable to silting, objects, corrosion Segment gate: access & maintenance Radial gate: limited clearance height 		
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects			
 Limited horizontal flow contraction Excess water: through gate Suitable for reverse head & flow Radial gate kept free of sill 	 Segment gates: sensitive to oscillations in case of overflow Open gates subject to down pul forces & wave loads 		

Rubber dams: Ramspol barrier, Netherlands





ARCADIS

Rubber dams

favorable	unfavorable			
Structural aspects, layout and operation				
 Large Span feasible No clearance height limitation Not subjected to wind Little space required Direct transfer of hydraulic load Invisible when not in use No need for hinges and operating mechanism 	 Flexible structure, low frequencies, small stiffness, great mass Internal pressure determines stability Control of storage & immersion of rubber sheet Not suitable for deep water Difficult inspection, maintenance, replacement of rubber sheet Vulnerable for vandalism 			
Hydraulic and hydrodynamic aspects				
 Vertical closure of the flow opening Not sensitive to silting of sill 	 Ships or objects collision Strong flow contraction in last stage Considerable response to wave loads No spill of excess water, overflow vibrations 			



Comparison of gate types

	Mitre	V Lift	Flap	Horiz	V rotate	Rubber
Span > 30 m	-	+	+	+	+	+
Span > 100 m	-	-	+	+	-	-
Waterdepth > 10 m	+	+	+	+	+	-
Impact on landscape	+	-	+	+	-/+	+
Maintenance	+	+	-	0	+	0
Currents & waves	-	+	0	0/+	0/+	0
Closure time	+	+	+	+	+	0/-
Space required	+	+	+	-	+	+
Colliding ships	0/-	+	+	0/-	+	0
Reliability	-/+	+	0/+	-/+	+	0
Clearance height	+	-	+	+	-/+	+



Suitable gate types for New York (1)

- Mitre gates and rubber dams:
 - not suitable regarding span (>100 m) and water depth (> 10 m), resistance against currents & waves
- Vertical lifting gates:
 - limited width of span: connect a number of gate elements
 - clearance height for shipping: combine with a separate shipping lock or with horizontally moving gate or flap gate
- Flap gate: could be an option though:
 - (under water) maintenance is tough issue regarding tidal currents and sediment flows.
 - Also water depths of over 20 m might be challenge
- Horizontally moving gates are possible, but:
 - required space & risks of colliding ships, risk of a closure failure
 - required span for some locations
- Vertically rotating gates are a possibility
 - limited width of span: connect a number of gate elements
 - clearance height for shipping: combine with a separate shipping lock or with horizontally moving gate or flap gate



Suitable gate types for New York (2)

- Not one perfect gate type for New York
- Combination of gate types, combining the most favorable aspects of several gates could result in the best solution
- Complex tidal water system requires systems approach
- Flood control solutions should be part of an integrated flood risk management system
- Flood gates should always be compared to or combined with other flood risk management measures
- Like dike or levee strengthening, natural coastal protection systems or non structural measures



Concluding remarks

- Costs, construction time, environment, many other aspects have to be taken more into consideration
- Aspects vary with the selected gate type & with design
- No simple decision tree to find the perfect gate
- There is never just one perfect solution
- Consider combining different gate types
- An engineering systems approach is recommended
- A water systems approach is recommended
- Develop a smart water management system

Start with a Deltaplan, like the Dutch: A Hudson Plan!!



Thank you!



ARCADIS